

## APPENDIX C:

### Feedback from Publicity on the Titchfield's 'Neighbourhood Area' Application & Local Planning Authority's Response

<b>Feedback Comments Following Publicity</b>	<b>Local Planning Authority Response</b>
<p>Titchfield already has councillors to voice their opinions in the Council Chambers of Hampshire and Fareham. I cannot see any advantages.</p>	<p>This is not reason which would prevent a Local Planning Authority designating a neighbourhood area. For clarity, neighbourhood planning is a right which communities in England can choose to use.</p>
<p>The boundary to the existing developments in Fareham (i.e. to the North East) is too close to these existing developments. There should be a buffer or area of land between the proposed boundary and existing developments</p>	<p>The guidance explains that consideration when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ village or settlement boundaries, which could reflect areas of planned expansion</li><li>▪ the catchment area for walking to local services such as shops, primary schools, doctors' surgery, parks or other facilities</li><li>▪ the area where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate</li><li>▪ the physical appearance or characteristics of the neighbourhood, for example buildings may be of a consistent scale or style</li><li>▪ whether the area forms all or part of a coherent estate either for businesses or residents</li><li>▪ whether the area is wholly or predominantly a business area</li><li>▪ whether infrastructure or physical features define a natural boundary, for example a major road or railway line or waterway</li><li>▪ the natural setting or features in an area</li><li>▪ size of the population (living and working) in the area</li></ul> <p>Advice was given by the Local Planning Authority in relation to the above. There is no legal requirement to maintain 'buffer' zones around designated areas, only that designated areas may not overlap. A Neighbourhood Plan will nonetheless remain subject to the overarching policies of the Local Plan in relation to separation of settlements etc.</p>

	<p>In light of the extent of the boundary to the north east and any other boundaries, the Local Planning Authority would recommend that if the Titchfield Neighbourhood Area and Titchfield Neighbourhood Forum are designated, if any areas of planned expansion are within close proximity of any adjoining settlements or developments and/or impact upon neighbouring areas, these areas are subject to wide public consultation with the public and landowners / communities potentially affected by the proposals throughout the <i>neighbourhood planning</i> process. This will be a requirement for a compliance check to ensure the plan meets the relevant legal requirements with the statutory guidance once the neighbourhood plan is submitted to the local planning authority.</p>
Seems about right	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
Seems suitable.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
Looks alright.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
I would like to see the area from Cherrygarth Rd including the Lodges up to Highlands Rd and the area around the Ranvilles Lane end of Hollam Dr.	Fareham Town is considered a separate settlement from Titchfield.
I think the proposed area is right; it includes all of Titchfield not just the village.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
Why isn't Segensworth included in the area?	Segensworth is considered a separate development from Titchfield, in both form and function.
How will the forum covering this area interact and work with the surrounding areas? The actions (of which many are planned in the coming years) taken around this area will have a direct impact on the proposed area. There will need to be close association to these surrounding areas and parish councils.	The neighbourhood plan process provides for extensive consultation in plan preparation and individual development proposals coming forward under the plan will still be subject to normal planning controls including the need to consult on individual developments and proposals within the scope of the plan.

<p>Looks fine. Does it cover the political ward area for both FBC and HCC?</p>	<p>No response required by the Local Planning Authority. For clarity, the proposed neighbourhood area falls within the administrative area of Fareham Borough Council, as well as Hampshire County Council (i.e. a 2-tiered Local Government area).</p>
<p>This covers Titchfield and the outlying Titchfield boundary areas. This would ensure all those of the community had a potential say within the forum.</p>	<p>No response required by the Local Planning Authority.</p>
<p>It would also be good if it liaises with people living just outside the proposed area, as many of us use village facilities regularly and it is our nearest community.</p>	<p>The Forum is not subject to the control of the Council but the Council would encourage the Forum to consult widely with all affected or potentially affected parties on any proposal it seeks to put forward regardless of whether they live within the designated area or not. Please see comments above regarding consultation on plan.</p>
<p>The area looks good; hopefully boundaries could be extended (slightly) if anyone feels excluded who reasonably could be included.</p>	<p>No response required by the Local Planning Authority.</p>
<p>Our home address is Titchfield however does not come into the proposed area.</p>	<p>No response required by the Local Planning Authority.</p>
<p>It is an arbitrary plan that takes no account of electoral, parish, postal, telephonic, historical or traditional boundaries. Any vote from the proposed area would be fallacious.</p>	<p>The guidance explains that consideration when deciding the boundaries of a neighbourhood area requires consideration of a number of matters, including but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ village or settlement boundaries, which could reflect areas of planned expansion</li> <li>▪ the catchment area for walking to local services such as shops, primary schools, doctors' surgery, parks or other facilities</li> <li>▪ the area where formal or informal networks of community based groups operate</li> <li>▪ the physical appearance or characteristics of the neighbourhood, for example buildings may be of a consistent scale or style</li> <li>▪ whether the area forms all or part of a coherent estate either for businesses or residents</li> <li>▪ whether the area is wholly or predominantly a business area</li> <li>▪ whether infrastructure or physical features define a natural boundary, for example a major road or railway line or waterway</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ the natural setting or features in an area</li> <li>▪ size of the population (living and working) in the area</li> </ul> <p>The guidance makes no reference to electoral, postal or telephonic boundaries, but the physical characteristics are referenced as they reflect changing historic and traditional boundaries.</p> <p>A Parish Council is a 'qualifying body' which does not need to apply to be a designated neighbourhood forum in order to progress a neighbourhood plan. However as Titchfield has no parish, it has to apply to be a neighbourhood forum.</p>
It would seem about right.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
That just about sums up our comments above and looks good in order to achieve the aim.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
The proposed area is wide spreading and encompasses all the main areas of interest in the areas, including the meadow land at the south of the village down towards the canal, and the wetlands, which should be maintained as an area of natural beauty and environmental interest.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.
Not sure it should include land east of the road to Stubbington.	No response required by the Local Planning Authority.